



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,899	10/31/2003	Niranjan Damera-Venkata	200207907-1	3010

22879 7590 01/16/2008  
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY  
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION  
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER
----------

KAU, STEVEN Y

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2625

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

01/16/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM  
mkraft@hp.com  
ipa.mail@hp.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/698,899	DAMERA-VENKATA, NIRANJAN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Krista M. Zele	2600	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Krista M. Zele. (3)\_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Lloyd Dakin (# 38,423). (4)\_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 14 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: not applicable.


Identification of prior art discussed: not applicable.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)


THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**KRISTA ZELE**  
**SPECIAL PROGRAM EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Dakin was contacted to discuss the petition filed 12/21/07 under 37 CFR 1.181 requesting withdrawal of an improper restriction requirement. Mr. Dakin was informed that the petition is being treated as a request for reconsideration. After further review of the file and a discussion with the supervisor, Mr. King Poon, it is agreed that the restriction requirement mailed 10/25/07 was improper. Accordingly, the restriction requirement mailed 10/25/07 is hereby vacated. The file is being forwarded to the examiner for new and appropriate action in accordance with MPEP 818.01, 818.02(a), 819, and 821.03. No further action by applicant is required at this time. A new action is forthcoming.



**KRISTA ZELE**  
**SPECIAL PROGRAM EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**